



Dark Matter and Rare Decay searches of the ortho-Positronium with the J-PET detector

NCN grant Nr 2020/38/E/ST2/00112



Elena Perez del Rio on behalf of the J-PET Collaboration

MESON2023 22nd - 27th June 2023, Krakow, Poland

Outline

- Dark Matter fast overview
 - Beyond the Standard Model: g-2
 - Dark Photon
- Mirror Matter (MM)
 - Mirror Matter in ortho-Positronium
- J-PET (Jagiellonian PET Tomograph)
- Studies using J-PET:
 - Search of MM
 - Dark Photon
 - Rare decays of ortho-Positronium
- Conclusions

Dark Matter

The Dark Matter Nature





- Is Dark Matter (DM) a new particle?
- Constraint on DM mass and interactions
 - should be 'dark' (no e.m. interaction)
 - should weakly interact with SM particles
 - should provide the correct relic abundance
 - should be compatible with CMB power spectrum

arXiv:1903.03026





Dark Sector or Hidden Sector (DM not directly charged under SM interactions)

BSM Physics: g-2 and dark matter





• **4.2** discrepancy

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{dark photon}} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \varepsilon^2 F(m_V/m_{\mu})$$

Dark matter motivated by cosmological observations could also serve as explanation to the g-2 discrepancy $\epsilon \sim 1-2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and $m_v \sim 1-100$ MeV



- "Minimal case": Dark Matter couples to Standard Model (SM) particles through a kinetic mixing term → Dark Photon A' (mixes with SM photon)
 - Decays depending in the mass of the mediator and decaying products

$$\mathcal{L}_{mix} = -\frac{\epsilon}{2} F^{EM}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_{DM}$$



- DM is a new type of matter → The DM has two possible scenarios
 - DM interacts with the same forces as in SM
 - DM interacts through **new forces**

- Not need to introduce new interactions
 - Super-symmetric candidates: AXIONS
- Mirror Matter

Mirror Matter



• Symmetry: feature of the system that is preserved or remains unchanged under some transformation.

C. S. Wu et al.

Phys. Rev. 105 (1956) 1413

- Symmetries in Physics are important \rightarrow Invariant \rightarrow Laws of Nature
- Standard Model 3-symmetries: C-, P- and T-symmetry
- Weak interactions violates parity (P).
 First experimental confirmations:



R. L. Garwin, L. Lederman and R. Weinrich Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 254

- Mirror Matter (or Alice Matter) was proposed as an explanation of Parity symmetry violation [T.D., Yang C. N. Phys. Rev. 1956. V. 104. P. 254.]
 - Each particle has a mirror partner with the same properties and opposite chirality (left/right handed)
 - Mirror particles interact with normal matter mainly through gravity → DM candidates
 - γ mirror γ' interaction via kinetic mixing

$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma'} = -\epsilon F^{\mu\nu} F'_{\mu\nu}$$

Orthopositronium

Hydrogen atom ¹H:



Ps pure leptonic system:

- Clean experimental system (no background)
- Lifetime accurately described with Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) theory

$$\Gamma(o - Ps \to 3\gamma, 5\gamma) = \frac{2(\pi^2 - 9)\alpha^6 m_e}{9\pi} \left[1 + A\frac{\alpha}{\pi} + \frac{\alpha^2}{3}\ln\alpha + B\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 - \frac{3\alpha^3}{2\pi}\ln^2\alpha + C\frac{\alpha^3}{\pi}\ln\alpha + D\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^3 + \dots \right]$$

Theory QED prediction

 $\Gamma = 7.039979(11) \times 10^6 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$

Experimental values

 $\Gamma = 7.0401 \pm 0.0007 \times 10^6 \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ Tokyo group

 $\Gamma = 7.0404 \pm 0.0010 \pm 0.0008 \times 10^6 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ Ann Arbor group

Theory predictions 100 times more precise: 10⁻⁶ vs 10⁻⁴



S. Bass Acta Phys. Pol. B 50 no7 (2019) 1319

Mirror Matter in o-Ps

• o-Ps can be connected via one-photon annihilation to its mirror version (o-Ps') and can be confirmed in experiments

- o-Ps oscillates into its mirror partner o-Ps'
- Only mimicked by very-rare decay from Standard Model Br(oPs $\rightarrow v\overline{v}) < O(10^{-18})$
- Precision measurements of the o-Ps decay rate and compare it to QED calculations.
- NCN grant Nr 2020/38/E/ST2/00112



The o-Ps' \rightarrow invisible decay would manifest as an increase of the observed lifetime respect to the expected value \rightarrow Precision measurement of the o-Ps lifetime



[P. Crivelli et al 2010 JINST 5 P08001]

J-PET (Jagiellonian-PET TOMOGRAPHY)





Positronium imaging with the novel multiphoton PET scanner Moskal, P. et al. **Science Advances 7 (2021) eabh4394** Testing CPT symmetry in ortho-positronium decays with positronium annihilation tomography P. Moskal, A. Gajos et al Nature Communications 12 (2021) 5658

First Positron Emission Tomography scanner built from plastic scintillator

- Multidisciplinary detector
- Portable/modular detector layer with higher detection probability High
- performance detector with high timing resolution
- High acceptance
- Trigger-less and reconfigurable DAQ system
 - Data has no filters: all data acquired is unfiltered
- GPS trilateration reconstruction of the interaction point

Radioactive source Na



Precise measurement of the o-Ps lifetime looking for hints of new physics



- Source activity 1 MBq = 10⁶ e⁺/s
- o-Ps formed in vacuum chamber with probability 29%
- Number of o-Ps after 2 years

10¹³ o-Ps formed Sensitivity below O(10⁻⁵) Photon mixing strength ε < O(10⁻⁷)

Main competitor ETH Zurich

- [Phys. Rev. D 97, 092008]
 - Slow positron beam (1.5 x 10⁴e⁺/s)

Already available statistics

- E.g. 7.3 × 10⁶ event candidates in a
- continuous 26-day measurement using a 10
 MBq ²²Na positron source. [*Nature Communications 12 (2021) 5658*]

- **4-gamma events** to reconstruct the lifetime
- Accurate measurement/Precision Frontier
 - High purity/high statistics

- Event pre-selection/identification:
 - 4 hit multiplicity
 - 3 annihilation gamma + de-excitation
 - Time-Over-Threshold (TOT) selection → Compton edges
 - Ortho-Ps angular identification
 - Other decay features



- Accidental events: events in coincidence but not correlated
 - Can be controlled with source activity
 - Evaluation performed in 2020 article

Acta Phys.Polon. B51 (2020) 165



C. Vigo et al. (2019) [805.06384v] J. of Phys.: Conf. Series, Vol. 1138, conf 1



- oPs interacting with the material (Pick-Off):
 - Can be directly evaluated from data
 - Can be used to train Machine Learning algorithms to reject the events (below 12 ppm level)



- Machine Learning studies with MC simulations
 - Deep Neural Network
 - Challenge: Imbalanced dataset (oPs/Pick-off ratio very small)
 - **Different strategies tested-ongoing:** undersampling,over-sampling(bootstrap), NN reweighting
 - Goal classification model robust to the variation in the oPs/Pick-off ratio
 - In collaboration with Dr. Krzemien & B. Kłósek





Dark Photon with J-PET

- A model involving a dark photon U decaying into uu or light DM can be explored with the JPET data
- Monte Carlo studies to set the feasibility of the analysis using the J-PET detector
- Contact with theoretitian P. Fayet



Rare decays of the oPs

- JPET trigger-less acquisition ensures all data taken is unfiltered
- These decays are practically background free
- Selection of the events is similar to the case of 3 gamma events
 - Reduction of systematic uncertainties normalizing to 3 gamma decay
- •NCN grant Nr 2020/38/E/ST2/00112

C-symmetry test

(o-Ps -> 4γ)/(o-Ps -> 3γ) < 3.7 x 10⁻⁶ (90% C.L.) [S. J. Freedman P. A. Vetter. Phys. Rev. A 66 (2002) 052505]

Previous limit (1996) < 2.6 x 10⁻⁶ (90% C.L.) [Yang et al., Phys. Rev. A 54, 1952 (1996)]

QED test

(O-Ps -> 5g)/(O-Ps -> 3g) = 1.67(99)(37) x 10⁻⁶ [S. J. Freedman P. A. Vetter, Phys. Rev. A 66 (2002) 052505]

QED value(tree) = 0.9591×10^{-6}

Previous (1 event, '95) = 2.2(2.2) x 10⁻⁶ [Matsumoto et al., Phys. Rev. A 54, 1947(1996)]



Ph.D. thesis of Pooja Tanty In collaboration with W. Krzemien

Run11 data

Rare decays of the oPs

Monte Carlo simulations for 4- and 5-gamma decay in preparation

5-gamma

- Data analysis on-going
- Efficiencies studies in evaluation



In collaboration with W. Krzemien

4-gamma

Conclusions

Project:	Search for Mirror Matter as DM candidate. New type of matter. Precision test of QED theory. Measurement of rare decays of ortho-Positronium. DM mediator, U boson in ortho-Positronium.				
Method:	Precise determination of the lifetime of the Positronium to compare to the QED theory expectation. Machine learning techniques to reduce the background sources and to be later on implemented in medical imaging. Monte Carlo dedicated modelling of DM mediator and rare decays.				
Facility:	J-PET tomograph at Jagiellionan University High performance and timing resolution with trigger-less acquisition system. Modular/portable configuration.				
Aim:	Mirror Matter search: sensitivity after two years of experiment below 10 ⁻⁵				



Thank you

Standard Model of Particles



- Standard Model is now complete: 2012 LHC Higgs boson
- Despite the highest energy reach at the LHC did not provide any convincing evidence for new degrees of freedom ... yet?
- Physics Beyond the Standard Model
 - •What about gravity ?
 - •Dark matter and Dark energy
 - Neutrino Masses
 - Matter-antimatter asymmetry
 - Anomalous momentum of the muon
 - •"glueballs"





Standard Model of Elementary Particles





Mirror Matter in J-PET







- NCN grant Nr 2020/38/E/ST2/00112
- Mirror Matter search with J-PET detector
- Development of a tagger system
 - Positron tagger implementation to trigger the start of the reaction
 - Reduction of background
 - Additional start measurement
 - Extra measurement to trigger the formation of positronium
- Use of modular layer J-PET for a higher efficiency
 - Modular layer is portable
 - Allows future measurements with positron beam
 - Measurements already performed at The Cyclotron Centre Bronowice, Trento (INFN), and Warsaw University

J-PET (Jagiellonian-PET TOMOGRAPHY)





Positronium in medicine and biology Moskal, P., Jasińska, B., Stępień, E.Ł., and S. Bass. **Nature Reviews Physics 1, pages 527-529 (2019)**

First Positron Emission Tomography scanner built from plastic scintillator

- Multidisciplinary detector
- Already involvement in the project developing analysis modules and calibration studies
- Portable/modular prototype 2019 with higher detection probability
- High performance detector with high timing resolution
- High acceptance
- Trigger-less and reconfigurable DAQ system
 - Data has no filters: all data acquired is unfiltered
- GPS trilateration reconstruction

- **4-gamma events** to reconstruct the lifetime
- Accurate measurement/Precision Frontier
 - High purity/high statistics

- Event pre-selection/identification:
 - 4 hit multiplicity
 - 3 annihilation gamma + de-excitation
 - Time-Over-Threshold (TOT) selection → Compton edges
 - Ortho-Ps angular identification
 - Other decay features







200

150

100

50

0

50

100

150

 $\theta_2 - \theta_1$ [deg]



10⁴

10³

10²

10

250

 $\theta_{23} + \theta_{12} = 180$

 $o-Ps \rightarrow 3\gamma$

200

 $\theta_1 + \theta_2$ [deg]



double scattered $\theta_{23} + \theta_{12} < 180$

θ₁₂

θ23



Systematic Uncertainties

- Accidental events: events in coincidence but not correlated
 - Can be controlled with source activity
 - Evaluation performed in 2020 article

Acta Phys.Polon. B51 (2020) 165



C. Vigo et al. (2019) [805.06384v] J. of Phys.: Conf. Series, Vol. 1138, conf 1



- oPs interacting with the material (Pick-Off):
 - Can be directly evaluated from data
 - Can be used to train Machine Learning algorithms to reject the events (below 12 ppm level)



Machine Learning for background reduction

- On-going studies with
 - Random coincidences MC/data
 - MC 3gamma/2gamma separation
 - Machine Learning (ML)

Byron P. Roe et al. Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A 543 (2005), 577–584.

Machine learning techniques, like Boosted Decision Trees and Artificial Neural Networks for background reduction



Development of **Neural Network** algorithms to profit of the the excellent timing and reconstruction capabilities of the JPET detector \rightarrow can be adapted in future to *medical imaging*.



C. Vigo et al. [805.06384v] Journal of Physics: Conference Series, Vol. 1138, conference 1

Analysis o-Ps lifetime

Machine Learning(ML) models tested for background identification and discrimination

- Number of features, different architectures, strategies, correlations, etc ... studies on-going
- Impemented in Keras + TensorFlow
- Training, validation and test performed in GEANT4 Monte Carlo (MC) simulations with J-PET detector response
- Work in collaboration with Dr. Krzemien & B. Kłósek
- Comparison with baseline model corresponding to standard selection criteria
- Main preliminary focus studies efficient signal oPs/pick-off discrimination



Preliminary results



Analysis o-Ps lifetime

Machine Learning(ML) models tested for background identification and discrimination

- Number of features, different architectures, strategies, correlations, etc ... studies on-going
- Impemented in Keras + TensorFlow
- Training, validation and test performed in GEANT4 Monte Carlo (MC) simulations with J-PET detector response
- Work in collaboration with Dr. Krzemien & B. Kłósek
- Comparison with baseline model corresponding to standard selection criteria
- Main preliminary focus studies efficient signal oPs/pick-off discrimination



 $\theta_2 + \theta_1 [deg]$



Preliminary results



Dark Matter: WIMPs

• WIMPs (Weakly Interacting Massive Particles)



arXiv:1903.03026

- Massive DM with massive mediator
- For ~100 GeV DM mass, weak-scale mediators provide reasonable annihilation rate and range of DM-scattering rates
- No signal of DM in direct detection
- Experiments don't have sensitivity (almost) to light DM (< 1 GeV)



Dark Matter: mass and interaction

 Based on the direct searches outcome a first idea comes: the DM interaction is in the range of the weak force (WIMPs) but the DM particles mass in the TeV range



Light Dark Matter

- Dark Matter with a weak interaction (new force!)
- Direct Detection is (almost) impossible
 - Low energies would require a complete new technology
- Lab-based DM search
 - covers an unexplored mass region
 - We do it in our labs/colliders/accelerators



Dark Sector or Hidden Sector (DM not directly charged under SM interactions)





Motivations for new GeV-scale forces



The left-hand panel shows the glow of 511 keV gamma rays coming from the annihilation of electrons by their antimatter counterparts, the positrons of the Milky Way observed by SPI. The map shows the entire sky, with the galactic centre at the middle. The emission can be seen extending towards the right-hand side of the map. The color code shows the intensity of the signal (white more intense). The right-hand panel shows the distribution of hard low mass X-ray binary stars detected by IBIS/ISGRI telescope on board INTEGRAL satellite. This stellar population has a distribution that matches the extent of the 511 keV map.

(Credits: Integral CEA and CESR team)



Motivations for new GeV-scale forces

CoGeNT scattering cross sections with nucleus



FIG. 6: A comparison of the parameter space favored by the CoGeNT spectrum with that favored by the modulation spectrum reported by DAMA/LIBRA [7]. Good agreement is found, but somewhat large quenching factors for low energy nuclear recoils on sodium are required ($Q_{\rm Na} \sim 0.40-0.45$) [7].

J-PET (Jagiellonian-PET TOMOGRAPHY)

- 3 + 1 layer arrangement
 - 192 scintillator modules 7 × 19 × 500 mm 3 arranged in 3 layers read out by vacuum tube photomultipliers (PMs) with radius of 42.5 cm and length of 50 cm
 - 4-th layer modular JPET: 312 plastic scintillator strips in 24 modules with dimensions of 6 × 24 × 500 mm 3 read out by matrices of silicon photomultipliers (SiPM)
- Novel digital front-end electronics probing signals at multiple thresholds
- Trigger-less and reconfigurable DAQ system
- Annihilation gamma quanta hit time measurement: σ_t (0.511 MeV) ~ 125 ps
- Gamma quanta energy resolution: $\sigma_{F}/E = 0.044/\sqrt{E(MeV)}$
- Resolution of photon relative angles measurement ~ 1°
- Possibility of o-Ps spin and photon polarization measurement
- Increased detection efficiency and improved time resolution with layer 4





P. Moskal, D. Kisielewska et al. Phys. Med. Biol. 64 ps ≈ 5 ps 2019)



Cost-effective total body solution





Mirror Matter

Weak interactions violates parity. Experimental

confirmations:

C. S. Wu et al. Phys. Rev. 105 (1956) 1413 R. L. Garwin, L. Lederman and R. Weinrich Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 254



Bottom: P-asymmetry: A clock built like its mirrored image does *not* behave like the mirrored image of the original clock.

- Mirror Matter (or Alice Matter) was proposed as an explanation of Parity symmetry violation [T.D., Yang C. N. Phys. Rev. 1956. V. 104. P. 254.]
 - Each particle has a mirror partner with the same properties and opposite chirality (left/right handed)
 - Mirror particles interact with normal matter mainly through gravity → DM candidates
 - γ mirror γ' interaction via kinetic mixing

$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma'} = -\epsilon F^{\mu\nu} F'_{\mu\nu}$$



¹S₀ Para-positronium Ortho-positronium Decay modes p-PS → 2n γ o-PS → ps²ⁿ⁺¹) γ

τρ®≈ Σtpsp- 125 ps Ps) ≈ 142 ns

oPs in JPET tomograph



Fig. 3 Simulated spectra of deposited energy in plastic scintillators for gamma quanta from $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\gamma$ annihilation and for de-excitation gamma quanta originating from isotopes indicated in the legend. The spectra were simulated including the energy resolution of the J-PET detector [20] and were normalized to the same number of events

Gamma quanta interact in detector via Compton scattering







Radioactive sources

Table 1 Summary of major physical characteristics of beta-plus iso-topes useful for PET imaging and positron annihilation lifetime spec-troscopy (PALS) investigations. For isotopes that decay into excited

states the properties of emitted gamma quanta are denoted. Data were adapted from [27]

Isotope	Half-life	β^+ decay	E_{γ} (MeV)	$E_{e^+}^{max}$ (MeV)	Excited nuclei lifetime
Isotopes for	PALS and PET imag	ing			
²² Na	2.6 (years)	22 Na \rightarrow^{22} Ne + e^+ + ν_e + γ	1.27	0.546	3.63 (ps)
⁶⁸ Ga	67.8 (min)	68 Ga \rightarrow 68 Zn + e^+ + ν_e + γ	1.08	0.822	1.57 (ps)
⁴⁴ Sc	4.0 (h)	44 Sc \rightarrow 44 Ca + e^+ + ν_e + γ	1.16	1.474	2.61 (ps)
Isotopes for	PET imaging				
⁶⁸ Ga	67.8 (min)	$^{68}\text{Ga} \rightarrow ^{68}\text{Zn} + e^+ + \nu_e$	_	1.899	-
¹¹ C	20.4 (min)	$^{11}\mathrm{C} \rightarrow ^{11}\mathrm{B} + e^+ + \nu_e$	-	0.961	
¹³ N	10.0 (min)	$^{13}N \rightarrow ^{13}C + e^+ + \nu_e$	—	1.198	-
¹⁵ O	2.0 (min)	$^{15}\mathrm{O} \rightarrow ^{15}\mathrm{N} + e^+ + \nu_e$	1-1	1.735	8 <u>—</u> 8
¹⁸ F	1.8 (h)	${}^{18}\mathrm{F} \rightarrow {}^{18}\mathrm{O} + e^+ + \nu_e$	_	0.634	-





Main competitor

- Searches in vacuum [Phys. Rev. D 97, 09200]
 - Slow positron beam (15000 e⁺/s)
 - BR < 5.9 × 10⁻⁴ (90% C.L.)
 - Photon mixing strength

 ε < 3.1 × 10⁻⁷ (90% C.L.)

- Source activity 1 MBq = 10⁶ e⁺/s
- o-Ps formed in vacuum chamber with probability 29%
- o-Ps formation triggered by emission
 e⁺ and de-excitation gamma quanta
- Number of o-Ps after 2 years 10¹³ o-Ps formed





- Probability registering the gamma quanta in J-PET (energy dependent)
 - De-excitation quanta 20%
 - 3 gamma decay 2%
- After 2 year data taking we will have registered ~ 10¹³ o-Ps
- Sensitivity O(10⁻⁵)
- Photon mixing strength ε < O(10⁻⁷)

"zero-signal" experiment

"zero-signal" experiment performed at ETH in Zurich with common characteristics:

- Time measurement: time start by triggering on positron, time stop when detecting any of the annihilation photons
- Use of a calorimeter (BGO crystals) to measure the energy of γ from ortho positronium decay products and calculate $E_{tot} = \sum E_i$.
- Search for excess events (peak) in the spectrum below the noise level threshold
- The shape of the background (noise) below noise threshold based on MC simulations.



Searching for "zero-signal" events



- → Several measurements by ETHZ group
- → Use of slow positron beam (~15000 e⁺/s) on thin silica films (~ 30% prob. of o-Ps)
- → Micro-Channel Plate detector to tag positron (Start signal)
- → Highly hermetic BGO calorimeter (total signal efficiency ~92%)
- → Decay of o-Ps in a vacuum cavity

 $BR(o-Ps \rightarrow invisible) < 5.9 \times 10^{-4}$, 90% C.L.

 $\varepsilon < 3.1 \times 10^{-7} (90\% \text{ C. L.})$



GPS trilateration



Figure 5: A scheme of the detector showing $o-Ps \rightarrow 3\gamma$ annihilation. For clarity only a single layer with registered hits is shown. Red lines represent the gamma photons from ortho-positronium annihilation. The trilateration method is used to determine the annihilation position and time (x', y', t) along the annihilation plane. For each recorded photon a circle, which is a set of possible photon origin points, centered in the hit-position and parameterised with the unknown o-Ps annihilation time is considered. The intersection of the three circles corresponds to the $o-Ps \rightarrow 3\gamma$ annihilation point.







Fig. 13 Pictorial illustration of the possible response of the detector to o-Ps $\rightarrow 3\gamma$ and e^+e^- annihilation into 2γ . Arranged circularly *squares* represents scintillator strips—*purple* and *green* colors indicate strips where the gamma quanta were or were not registered, respectively. The

arrows represents gamma quanta occurring in the events, while *dotted lines* indicate naively reconstructed gamma quanta. Examples of primary and secondary scatterings are depicted



Eur. Phys. J. C (2016) 76 :445



The main experimental challenge:

pick-off effect





Neural network classifier for pick-off $\lambda_{pick}(t)$

determination

J-PET

- → very good timing resolution
- → very good angular resolution (~1 deg)
- vertex determination with trilateration methods



→ very good topological cut

╋

→ reasonable energy resolution

ETHZ method proposal



C. Vigo et al. (2019) [805.06384v]