**MESON2023** 



#### Hypernuclear halos with HYDRA at R<sup>3</sup>B- GSI/FAIR

Simone Velardita MESON2023 - Krakow 26/06/2023



# **Nuclear halo**

- → Loosely bound system at the dripline
- → Nucleon(s) wave function extends into classically forbidden region
  - large nuclear size
- → Evidenced in exotic nuclei:
  - historically discovered in <sup>11</sup>Li ( $S_{2n}$ =0.378 MeV)
- → **Predicted** for hypernuclei:
  - ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H (S<sub>Ad</sub>=0.13 MeV) [1],  ${}^{6}_{\Lambda}$ He (S<sub>n</sub>=0.17 MeV) [2]
  - no experimental observation of hypernuclei halo





# **Hypertriton in a nutshell**

- → Loosely bound system: A binding energy 148(40) keV (average 2023)
- → Large spatial extension predicted (unmeasured)→ "hyper"-halo nucleus
- → Lifetime expected to be compatible with the free  $\Lambda$  (263 ps)
  - Latest ALICE value (2022) [arXiv:2209.07360v2]: 253 ± 11 (stat.) ± 6 (syst.) ps



F. Hildenbrand, H.-W. Hammer, PRC 100 (2019)





Mainz's database: https://hypernuclei.kph.uni-mainz.de/

Introduction



### **Nature of nucleosynthesis in HIC**

- → Statistical hadronization or coalescence model?
- $\rightarrow$   $^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H is suggested to be a conclusive test for nucleosynthesis in Heavy Ion Collision (HIC)
- → Size of  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H is central for coalescence predictions











# **Estimation of the hypertriton size**

→ Measure hypernuclei interaction cross sections and from these deduce the matter radii:

 $\sigma_I(p,t) = \pi {\left[ R_I(p) + R_I(t) 
ight]^2}$ 

→ Hypernuclear version of I. Tanihata et al. experiment [I. Tanihata et al. PLB 160 (1985)]





# **Production in HIC at GSI/FAIR energies**

- → Hypernuclei so far mainly produced using pions, kaons and electrons beams in direct kinematics
  - Iimited to hypernuclei close to stability
- → Relativistic HICs can potentially extend the hypernuclei studies far from stability
  - ◆ main strangeness production mechanisms

 $p + p \rightarrow p + \Lambda + K^+ (E_{lab} > 1.58 \,GeV)$ 

 $\pi^+ + n \rightarrow \Lambda + K^+ \ (E_{lab} > 0.76 \, GeV)$ 

- ◆ The HypHI0 experiment at GSI demonstrate the feasibility of the method using <sup>6</sup>Li+<sup>12</sup>C at 2 AGeV [3]
  - light hypernuclear systems reconstructed by the invariant mass from weak decay products, e.g.  $^{3}_{\Lambda}H \rightarrow \pi^{-}+^{3}He$



6



# The two-target method

- → extract the interaction cross section by measuring the mesonic decay vertex distribution
- → the yield of the hypernuclei depends on 3 physical cross sections:  $\sigma_R$  beam interaction,  $\sigma_\Lambda$  production (unknown),

 $\sigma_{\Lambda R}$  interaction (unknown)

• two measurements with two targets of thicknesses,  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ 



$$rac{N_\Lambda(d_1)}{N_\Lambda(d_2)} \cdot rac{N_{0,d_2}}{N_{0,d_1}} \cdot ig(1-e^{B\,d_2}ig) \cdot e^{-n\sigma_R(d_2-d_1)} - 1 + e^{-B\,d_1} = 0$$

where

$$egin{aligned} B &= \, n \, \sigma_{\Lambda R} + rac{1}{\gamma eta c \, au} - n \, \sigma_R \ N_{0,d_1} &= I \, t \, lpha \quad ext{and} \quad N_{0,d_2} = I \, t \, (1 - lpha) \end{aligned}$$

7



# The two-target method

- → extract the interaction cross section by measuring the mesonic decay vertex distribution
- → the yield of the hypernuclei depends on 3 physical cross sections:  $\sigma_R$  beam interaction,  $\sigma_A$  production (unknown),

 $\sigma_{\Lambda R}$  interaction (unknown)

• two measurements with two targets of thicknesses,  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ 



$$egin{aligned} & \overbrace{N_{0,d_{2}}}^{N_{0,d_{2}}} \cdot \left(1-e^{B\,d_{2}}
ight) \cdot e^{-n\sigma_{R}(d_{2}-d_{1})}-1+e^{-B\,d_{1}}=0 \ & ext{where} \ & B=n\,\sigma_{\Lambda R}+rac{1}{\gammaeta c\, au}-n\,\sigma_{R} \ & N_{0,d_{1}}=I\,t\,lpha \ & ext{and} \ & N_{0,d_{2}}=I\,t\,(1-lpha) \end{aligned}$$



# The two-target method

- → extract the interaction cross section by measuring the mesonic decay vertex distribution
- → the yield of the hypernuclei depends on 3 physical cross sections:  $\sigma_R$  beam interaction,  $\sigma_A$  production (unknown),
  - $\sigma_{\Lambda R}$  interaction (unknown)
  - two measurements with two targets of thicknesses,  $d_1$  and  $d_2$





### **Sensitivity of measurement**



→ Minimal uncertainty is reached for a large difference in the thickness of the two targets

• optimal values are  $d_1 \sim 1 \text{ cm}$  and  $d_2 \sim 6 \text{ cm}$ 



11

# **Experiment at R<sup>3</sup>B-GSI/FAIR (2025)**

- → Hypertriton interaction cross section from  ${}^{12}C+{}^{12}C \rightarrow X +^{3}_{\Lambda}H \rightarrow X +^{-}_{\pi}+{}^{3}He$
- → Large acceptance → Time Projection Chamber (TPC) inside GLAD dipole
- →  $^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H low production cross section 1.8 µb (predicted) [4] → Off beam position to allow high intensity beam
- → High momentum and position resolution → Mylar entrance window and double-wire field-cage
- → B field inhomogeneities of GLAD dipole corrected by reference tracks → Built-in laser with micro-bundle mirrors [5]



[4] Y. Sun et al. PRC 98 (2018) [5] J. Abele et al. NIM A 499 (2003)



12



a) Double-wire field cage

[6] J. Giovinazzo et al., NIM A. 892 (2018) [7] D. Pfeiffer et al., NIM A. 1031 (2022)



# **Monte Carlo simulations**

#### Geant4:

- → Total detection efficiency 12%
- → Vertex position resolution < 10 mm</p>
- → Momentum resolution < 2%
- → Rejection of background events with a signal over background ~3
- → Invariant mass resolution of 2 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> ( $\sigma$ )







# Conclusions

- → Hypernuclear program initiated at R<sup>3</sup>B- GSI/FAIR
- $\rightarrow$  <sup>3</sup><sub>A</sub>H interaction cross section (experiment foreseen for 2025)
  - new method developed [S. Velardita et al., EPJA 59 (2023)]
  - validated with GEANT4 simulations
  - expected relative uncertainty interaction cross section ~15%
  - deduce the matter radius of  $^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H to assess on its halo or non-halo nature
- → Hydra pion tracker built, under test measurements
  - hybrid MicroMegas/GEM
  - built-in laser system for reference tracks
  - VMM3 electronics for continuous readout







#### Collaborators

The R<sup>3</sup>B collaboration.

The HYDRA team:

M. Duer, A. Enciu, L. Ji, A. Obertelli, D. Rossi, Y. L. Sun, S. Velardita, F. Wienholtz TU Darmstadt, Germany

P. Gasik, J. Hehner, B. Löher, C. Schmidt, M. Träger GSI/FAIR, Germany

H. Alvarez-Pol, Y. Ayyad Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Spain

S. Ota University of Osaka, Japan

J. Taieb CEA, France

#### Theory support:

H.-W. Hammer, R. Roth IKP, TU Darmstadt, Germany

M. Bleicher, A. Botvina, H. Elfner J.W. Goethe Universität, Frankfurt am Mainz, Germany

C. Bertulani Texas A&M Commerce, USA



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung





Stiftung/Foundation

# **BACKGROUND (1)**

4 possible sources of background:

BACKUP

- 1. the coincidence of  $\pi^-$  and <sup>3</sup>He both produced from the fragmentation of <sup>12</sup>C
  - a. it's mostly removed by selecting the decay vertex position upstream the target
- 2. the decay of an heavier hypernucleus which decay via  $\pi^-$  emission together with a multi-ion final state that includes <sup>3</sup>He
  - a. The relative kinetic energy between  $\pi^-$  and <sup>3</sup>He will be always < 43 MeV (Q-value for the decay of the hypertriton)
- 3.  $a \pi^{-}$  from the decay of a free  $\Lambda$ ,  $K^{0}_{short}$  and heavier hypernuclei, and <sup>3</sup>He produced in coincidence from the fragmentation of the <sup>12</sup>C projectile
  - a. Reduced by applying topological cuts
- Two-step strangeness production, i.e., the production of hypertriton from fragments with A≥3 and E<sub>kin</sub> >1.6 GeV/A formed in the primary collision
  - a. Neglected because it contributes for <2% on the total number of hypertriton produced in the primary reaction

# **BACKGROUND (2)**

