Explore N(1520) transition form factors with non-perturbative dispersion theory at low energy

#### Di An

#### Theoretical Hadron Physics Group, Uppsala University, Sweden



### Jun 22 - 27 2023, Krakow, Poland

- Introduction to the nucleon transition form factors (TFFs)
- Oispersive formalism
- N\*(1520) TFFs' results (preliminary)
- Outlook

#### We try to understand the structure of the nucleon.



How large is  $\langle 0 | qqq | N \rangle$  and  $\langle 0 |$  Meson Baryon  $| N \rangle$ , quantitatively?

We try to understand the structure of the nucleon.



How large is  $\langle 0| qqq | N \rangle$  and  $\langle 0|$  Meson Baryon  $|N\rangle$ , quantitatively? Need model-independent tool  $\rightarrow$  Dispersion theory

Di AN (Uppsala University)

Jun 22 - 27 2023, Krakow, Poland 4/28



### Axiomatic QFT

 $\rightarrow$  Form factors are analytic functions in the complex plane.

### Unitarity+analyticity

 $\rightarrow$  the location of cut, branch point, singularities...

Cauchy integral Formula:

Unitarity cut  $[4m_{\pi}^2,\infty)$ 

$$F(q^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{4m_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Im} F(s)}{s - q^2 - i\epsilon} ds.$$

## Previous studies on TFFs by Uppsala group

$$\begin{split} \Sigma(J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+) &\to \Lambda(J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+) \text{ (Granados, Leupold, Perotti) [1]} \\ \text{Nucleon isovector form factors (Leupold) [2]} \\ \Sigma^*(J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+) &\to \Lambda(J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+) \text{ (Junker, Leupold, Perotti, Vitos) [3]} \\ \Delta(J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+) &\to \mathcal{N}(J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+) \text{ (Aung, Leupold, Perotti) (in preparation)} \\ \text{Quark mass dependence of nucleon EMFF} \\ \text{(An, Alvarado, Leupold, Alvarez-Ruso) (in preparation)} \end{split}$$

p	$1/2^{+}$	****
п	$1/2^{+}$	****
N(1440)	$1/2^{+}$	****
N(1520)	3/2	****
N(1535)	$1/2^{-}$	****
N(1650)	$1/2^{-}$	****
N(1675)	$5/2^{-}$	****
N(1680)	$5/2^{+}$	****
N(1685)		*
N(1700)	$3/2^{-}$	***
N(1710)	$1/2^{+}$	***
N(1720)	$3/2^{+}$	****
N(1860)	$5/2^{+}$	**
N(1875)	3/2-	***
N(1880)	$1/2^{+}$	**
N(1895)	$1/2^{-}$	**

Branching ratios of  $N^*$  by PDG:

1 Νπ	55-65%
Δ(1232)π, (S-wave)	15-23%
3 Δ(1232)π, (D-wave)	7-11%
4 $N\rho, S = \frac{3}{2}, (S-wave)$	10-16%
<b>5</b> $N\rho, S = \frac{1}{2}, (D-wave)$	0.2 - 0.4%
<b>6</b> $N\rho, S = \frac{3}{2}, (D-wave)$	pprox 0
🕜 Νη	0.07 - 0.08%

Nucleon excited states inc [4]

Our Strategy: include low energy degrees of freedom (N,  $\Delta,\,\pi,\,\rho)$  as model-independent as possible.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# *N*\*(1520) TFFs

$$N^*(1520) \ I = 1/2 \text{ and } J^P = 3/2^-.$$
  
 $\langle N|j_{\mu}|N^* \rangle = e \ \bar{u}_N(p_N) \Gamma_{\mu\nu}(q) u_{N^*}^{\nu}(p_{N^*})$ 

with

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{\mu\nu}(q) &:= i \left( \gamma^{\mu} q^{\nu} - q g^{\mu\nu} \right) m_{N} F_{1}(q^{2}) + \sigma^{\mu\alpha} q_{\alpha} q^{\nu} F_{2}(q^{2}) + \\ &+ i \left( q^{\mu} q^{\nu} - q^{2} g^{\mu\nu} \right) F_{3}(q^{2}) \,, \end{split}$$

where  $q^{\mu}:=p_{N^{*}}^{\mu}-p_{N}^{\mu}.$  In this work, we focus on isovector TFFs:



Di AN (Uppsala University)

Jun 22 - 27 2023, Krakow, Poland 7 / 28





Pion p-wave phase-shift  $\delta_1$ .

$$\begin{split} \delta_1 \text{ contains } \rho \text{ meson information} \\ f_1(s) &= \frac{\sin \delta_1(s)}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\pi^2}{s}}} \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} \\ F_\nu(s) &\approx \Omega(s) = \\ exp[\frac{s}{\pi} \int_{4m_\pi^2}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\delta_1(s')}{s'(s'-s)}] \end{split}$$

# Theory Input

- Fit to hadronic decay data provides  $v_1$ ,  $v_3$ ,  $v_5$  and  $v_7$ .
- Chiral perturbation theory gives  $v_2$ ,  $v_4$ ,  $v_6$ .



## Cuts, Poles and Singularities

#### Anomalous threshold condition

$$\begin{array}{l} m_{exc}^2 < \frac{1}{2} \left( m_{N^*}^2 + m_N^2 - 2m_\pi^2 \right) \\ m_{exc} = m_N \text{ (see back up slides for rigorous derivation)} \end{array}$$





The first Riemann sheet includes an unitarity and an

anomalous part.

$$T(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{4m_{\pi^2}}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{\text{disc}_{UNI} T(z)}{z-c} dz}{\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \frac{\frac{\text{disc}_{ANOM} T((\gamma(t)))}{\gamma(t)-s} dt}{\gamma(t)-s} dt$$



Cutkosky cutting rules

 $\Delta$  exchange

Two singularities on the second Riemann Sheet



Unitarity cut 
$$[4m_{\pi}^2,\infty)$$

### Comparison with 1-loop scalar-triangle

 $N^*$ 

How do we make sure we are right about the analytic structures?

 $\rightarrow$  use 1-loop scalar triangle (G. 't Hooft, M. Veltman) as a toy calculation for **double-check**!

$$T(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{4m_{\pi^2}}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{disc}_{UNI} T(z)}{z-c} dz + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \frac{\operatorname{disc}_{ANOM} T((\gamma(t)))}{\gamma(t)-s} dt$$

Our dispersive relation for the scalar triangle perfectly matches the analytic results:



Subtracted dispersion relations for TFFs:

$$F_i(q^2) = F_i(0) + \frac{q^2}{12\pi} \int_{4m_\pi^2}^{\Lambda^2} \frac{ds}{\pi} \frac{T_i(s) p_{c.m.}^3(s) F_\pi^{V*}(s)}{s^{3/2} (s - q^2 - i\epsilon)} + F_i^{anom}(q^2) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

 $T_i \sim N^* N \rightarrow 2\pi$  amplitudes calculated from Muskhelishvili-Omnès formalism:

$$egin{aligned} T_i(s) &= & \mathcal{K}_i(s) + \Omega(s) \, \mathcal{P}_i + \, T_i^{\mathrm{anom}}(s) \ &+ \, \Omega(s) \, s \, \int\limits_{4m_\pi^2}^\infty \, rac{\mathrm{d} s'}{\pi} \, rac{\mathcal{K}_i(s') \, \sin \delta(s')}{|\Omega(s')| \, (s'-s-i\epsilon) \, s'} \, . \end{aligned}$$

 $P_{i=1,2,3}$  are fit parameters (contact term interactions). Fix  $P_i$  by matching to  $\Gamma_{N\rho}$  S, D-wave decay widths (backup slides).

# Theory meets experiments: Space-like TFFs (preliminary)

Isovector TFFs :=  $\frac{1}{2}(F_i^{\text{proton}} - F_i^{\text{neutron}})$  i = 1, 2, 3.Only quantitative input: subtraction constants  $P_{1,2,3}$  $\Rightarrow$  fix hadronic  $N^*N \rightarrow \pi\pi$  amplitudes  $\Rightarrow N^*(1520) \rightarrow N\gamma^*$ 



Red: Model dependent parametrization for isovector TFFs (Jlab data for proton, MAID model for neutron) Blue: this work Full lines: Real part, Dashed lines: Imaginary part.

# Theory predictions: Time-like TFFs (preliminary)



 $N^* \rightarrow Ne^+e^-$ (Assuming isovector dominance) 5. × 10<sup>-</sup>  $d\Gamma_{e^+e^-N}$ 1. × 10 <sup>5.×10</sup> QED approx 1. x 10 Dispersion theory  $5 \times 10^{\circ}$ 0.25 0.30 0.00 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20  $q^{\frac{r}{2}}$ 

Our prediction:  $\Gamma_{N^* \to Nee} \approx (4.6, 5.2) \text{ keV}$   $\Gamma_{N^* \to Nee}(\text{QED}) \approx 4.4 \text{ keV}$ Results can be tested by HADES.

Jun 22 - 27 2023, Krakow, Poland 14 / 28

# Theory predictions: Time-like TFFs (preliminary)

 $N^* \rightarrow N \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

 $N^* \rightarrow Ne^+e^-$ 



 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Muonic Dalitz decay prediction:} \\ \mbox{$\Gamma_{N^* \rightarrow N \mu^+ \mu^-} \approx (0.6, 1.1) \, keV$} \\ \mbox{$\Gamma_{N^* \rightarrow N \mu^+ \mu^-}(\mbox{QED}) \approx 0.4 \, keV$} \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Electronic Dalitz decay prediction:} \\ \Gamma_{N^* \rightarrow Ne^+e^-} \approx (4.6, 5.2) \, \mbox{keV} \\ \Gamma_{N^* \rightarrow Ne^+e^-} (\mbox{QED}) \approx 4.4 \, \mbox{keV} \end{array}$ 

★ Ξ →

Theory predictions: Hadronic Dalitz decay  $N^* \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ Our dispersive prediction  $N^+(1520) \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^0$  as an example



Di AN (Uppsala University)

TFFs of the nucleon

Jun 22 - 27 2023, Krakow, Poland16 / 28

1. In progress:  $N^+ \rightarrow p\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $N^+ \rightarrow p\pi^0\pi^0$  including pion s-wave re-scattering dispersively ( $\sigma$  meson).

2. Cross-check the fit parameters (e.g. sign of  $N^*N\pi = +0.655$ ) with isobar model experts (e.g. Jülich-Bonn-Washington model).

3. Determination of  $P_{1,2,3}$  (short-distance physics) from QCD-based functional methods (Bethe-Salpeter equation and Dyson-Schwinger Eqs)  $\rightarrow$  collaboration with Gernot Eichmann (Graz U.) and Christian S. Fischer (Giessen U.).



# Tool box for non-perturbative QCD

Quark-gluon-based methods:

## Oyson-Schwinger Equations

Infinitely many coupled non-perturbative Eqs. for quark gluon correlators.

### QCD light-cone sum rules

Operator product expanding correlators around light-cone  $x^2 \sim 0 \rightarrow \frac{\Lambda^2_{QCD}}{Q^2} \approx 0.$ 

### Lattice QCD

Unable to calculate TFFs due to multi-particle state contamination and many other technical reasons.

Hadron-based methods:

### Chiral perturbation theory

works very well for Goldstone-bosons by non-linear realisation  $SU_L(2) \otimes SU_R(2)/SU_V(2)$ 

### ② Dispersion theory



Relativistic quark model and light cone sum rules calculation [5] for TFF of

 $N \rightarrow N(1535).$ 



Dyson-Schwinger Equation prediction [5] TEF of  $N \rightarrow N(1440)$ 

## Fixing coupling constants with isobar models



 $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$  s-channel scattering amplitude.

Two amplitudes are constructive (destructive) at  $s = (mN^*)^2$  if h > 0(h < 0).



#### $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ amplitude

## Experimental data on space-like TFFs



Di AN (Uppsala University)

Jun 22 - 27 2023, Krakow, Poland 20 / 28

 $N^*N\pi \rightarrow h = 0.655$  $N^*N\Delta \rightarrow H_1 = 0.28, H_2 = -5.6$ How to fix subtraction constants  $P_{1,2,3}$ ?

Method A: Fit  $P_{1,2,3}$  to slopes of TFF data Pro: easy to do.

Con: no data exist in  $0 < Q^2 \le 0.25 \text{GeV}^2!!$ 

Method B: Fit to the  $N(1520) \rightarrow N\rho$  hadronic decay widths and make use of slopes of TFFs. Contact terms:  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  $\rightarrow$  8 possible sign choices.  $dF_1(Q^2)/dQ^2 > 0 \rightarrow$  choose  $P_1 > 0$  $N(1520) \rightarrow N\rho$  fit suggests  $\rightarrow P_2 \approx 0$  $dF_3(Q^2)/dQ^2 < 0 \rightarrow$  choose  $P_3 < 0$  $\rightarrow$  predict  $F_{1,2,3}(Q^2)$  Branching ratios of  $N^*$  in PDG:

**1** 
$$N\pi$$
 55 - 65%  
**2**  $\Delta\pi$ (S-wave)15 - 23%  
**3**  $\Delta\pi$ (D-wave)7 - 11%  
**4**  $N\rho$ , ( $S = \frac{3}{2}, L = 0$ )  
10 - 16%  
**5**  $N\rho$ , ( $S = \frac{1}{2}, L = 2$ )  
0.2 - 0.4%  
**6**  $N\rho$ , ( $S = \frac{3}{2}, L = 2$ )  $\approx 1$ 

### Anomalous singularity



#### Trajectory of a singularity in the complex plane[6]

Jun 22 - 27 2023, Krakow, Poland 22 / 28



Jun 22 - 27 2023, Krakow, Poland 23 / 28

### Match the hadrons with quarks and gluons

#### Compton scattering amplitudes (Gernot Eichmann et al):



Jun 22 - 27 2023, Krakow, Poland 24 / 28

Example: Pion vector form factor



Unitarity cut  $[4m_{\pi}^2,\infty)$ 

$$S = 1 + iT$$
  
Unitarity  $SS^{\dagger} = 1 + i(T - T^{\dagger}) + |T|^{2} = 1$   
 $\rightarrow 2ImT = |T|^{2}$  (1)  
 $\rightarrow ImT_{A \rightarrow B} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x} T_{A \rightarrow x} T^{\dagger}_{x \rightarrow B}$ 

Simplest example:  $A = |\gamma^*\rangle$ ,  $B = |\pi^-(p_1)\pi^+(p_2)\rangle$ .

$$\Rightarrow T_{\gamma^* \to \pi^- \pi^+} = eA^{\mu} \underbrace{\langle \pi^-(p_1) \pi^+(p_2) | j^{\mu} | 0 \rangle}_{(p_1^{\mu} - p_2^{\mu}) F_{\nu}(s)}$$
(2)

$$T_{\gamma^* \to x} = e A^{\mu} \langle x | j^{\mu} | 0 \rangle \tag{3}$$

$$ImF_{\nu}(s)(p_{1}^{\mu}-p_{2}^{\mu})=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{x}\langle\pi^{-}(p_{1})\pi^{+}(p_{2})|x\rangle^{*}\langle x|j^{\mu}|0\rangle$$
(4)

 $|x
angle=2 {\it pions}(s\geq 4m_{\pi}^2),4 {\it pions}(s\geq 16m_{\pi}^2),2 {\it kaons}(s\geq 4m_K^2),...$ 

## Dispersion relation

#### Cauchy integral formula:

$$F_{\nu}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{s_0 = 4m_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} dz \frac{lim_{\epsilon \to 0}[F_{\nu}(z + i\epsilon) - F_{\nu}(z - i\epsilon)]}{z - s}$$
(5)

Schwarz Reflection Principle:  $F_v(z - i\epsilon) = F_v(z + i\epsilon)^*$ 

$$F_{\nu}(s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_0 = 4m_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} dz \frac{Im[F_{\nu}(z + i\epsilon)]}{z - s} \text{ Dispersion relation}$$
(6)

Consider only the 2 pion contribution

$$2ImF_{\nu}(q^{2})(p_{1}^{\mu}-p_{2}^{\mu})\approx\int d\tau_{2\pi}^{'}\langle\underbrace{\pi^{-}(p_{1})\pi^{+}(p_{2})|\pi^{-}(p_{1}^{'})\pi^{+}(p_{2}^{'})\rangle^{*}}_{\text{Pion rescattering amplitude}}\underbrace{\langle\pi^{-}(p_{1}^{'})\pi^{+}(p_{2}^{'})|j^{\mu}|0\rangle}_{F_{\nu}(q^{2})(p_{1}^{'})^{\mu}-p_{2}^{'})}$$
(7)



Only pion p-wave re-scattering amp.  

$$f_1(s) = \frac{\sin \delta_1(s)}{\sqrt{1-\frac{4m_{\pi}^2}{s}}} \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} \text{ contributes!}$$

$$\Rightarrow f_1(s) \text{ parametrized by phase-shift } \delta_1$$

$$\delta_1 \Rightarrow \text{ well measured by experiments!}$$

Di AN (Uppsala University)

Jun 22 - 27 2023, Krakow, Poland 26 / 28

### **Dispersion** relation





Jun 22 - 27 2023, Krakow, Poland 27 / 28

- Carlos Granados, Stefan Leupold, and Elisabetta Perotti. "The electromagnetic Sigma-to-Lambda hyperon transition form factors at low energies". In: *Eur. Phys. J. A* 53.6 (2017), p. 117. DOI: 10.1140/epja/i2017-12324-4. arXiv: 1701.09130 [hep-ph].
- [2] Stefan Leupold. "The nucleon as a test case to calculate vector-isovector form factors at low energies". In: Eur. Phys. J. A 54.1 (2018), p. 1. DOI: 10.1140/epja/i2018-12447-0. arXiv: 1707.09210 [hep-ph].
- [3] Olov Junker et al. "Electromagnetic form factors of the transition from the spin-3/2 Σ to the Λ hyperon". In: Phys. Rev. C 101.1 (2020), p. 015206. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.101.015206. arXiv: 1910.07396 [hep-ph].
- [4] M. Tanabashi et al. "Review of Particle Physics". In: Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018), p. 030001. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.98.030001. URL: https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.030001.
- [5] Volker D. Burkert. "N\* Experiments and Their Impact on Strong QCD Physics". In: Few Body Syst. 59.4 (2018). Ed. by R. Gothe et al., p. 57. DOI: 10.1007/s00601-018-1378-7. arXiv: 1801.10480 [nucl-ex].
- [6] Josef Leutgeb and Anton Rebhan. "Axial vector transition form factors in holographic QCD and their contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon". In: (2019). arXiv: 1912.01596 [hep-ph].
- [7] Stefan Leupold. "Information on the structure of the rho meson from the pion form-factor". In: Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009). [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 83, 079902 (2011)], p. 114012. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.83.079902. arXiv: 0907.0100 [hep-ph].

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >