Light front approach to axial meson photon transition form factors: probing the structure of $\chi_{c1}(3872)$

Wolfgang Schäfer ¹,

1 Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków

17th International Workshop on Meson Physics, Kraków, Poland, 22.-27. June 2023

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ ... 할 → 9 Q @

γ ∗*γ* [∗] **[transition form factors for the axial vector meson and spacelike photons](#page-2-0)**

[An exotic axial vector:](#page-15-0) $\chi_{c1}(3872)$, can one pin down its $c\bar{c}$ component?

-
- I. Babiarz, R. Pasechnik, W. Schäfer and A. Szczurek, "Light-front approach to axial-vector quarkonium *γ* ∗*γ* [∗] form factors," [arXiv:2208.05377 [hep-ph]], JHEP09(2022)170.

I. Babiarz, R. Pasechnik, W. Schäfer and A. Szczurek, "Probing the structure of $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ with photon transition form factors," Phys. Rev. D **107** (2023) no.7, L071503 [arXiv:2303.09175 [hep-ph]].

KORKARRISK I ARA

 $\gamma^* \gamma^*$ -transition form factors for $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ axial mesons

$$
\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha_{\text{em}}}\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu\rho} = i\bigg(q_1 - q_2 + \frac{Q_1^2 - Q_2^2}{(q_1 + q_2)^2}(q_1 + q_2)\bigg)_{\rho}\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}\frac{M}{2X}\mathcal{F}_{\text{TT}}(Q_1^2, Q_2^2) \n+ i e_{\mu}^l(q_1)\tilde{G}_{\nu\rho}\frac{1}{\sqrt{X}}\mathcal{F}_{\text{LT}}(Q_1^2, Q_2^2) + i e_{\nu}^l(q_2)\tilde{G}_{\mu\rho}\frac{1}{\sqrt{X}}\mathcal{F}_{\text{TL}}(Q_1^2, Q_2^2).
$$

• Above we introduced

$$
\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}=\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}q_1^{\alpha}q_2^{\beta}, X=(q_1\cdot q_2)^2-q_1^2q_2^2
$$

and the polarization vectors of longitudinal photons

$$
e^L_{\mu}(q_1) \quad = \quad \sqrt{\frac{-q_1^2}{X}} \bigg(q_{2\mu} - \frac{q_1 \cdot q_2}{q_1^2} q_{1\mu} \bigg) \, , \qquad e^L_{\nu}(q_2) = \sqrt{\frac{-q_2^2}{X}} \bigg(q_{1\nu} - \frac{q_1 \cdot q_2}{q_2^2} q_{2\nu} \bigg) \, .
$$

 \bullet $F_{TT}(0,0) = 0$, there is **no decay to two photons** (Landau-Yang).

 $F_{\rm LT}(Q^2,0) \propto Q$ (absence of kinematical singularities).

$$
f_{\rm LT}(Q^2) = \frac{F_{\rm LT}(Q^2,0)}{Q}
$$

KORKARA REPASA DA VOCA

• $f_{\text{LT}}(0)$ gives rise to so-called "reduced width" $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

Accessing transition form factors

- We need at least **one virtual photon** to produce an axial vector in photon photon collisions. This excludes ultraperipheral heavy ion collisions, where photons are quasi-real.
- \bullet Electron scattering gives us access to finite Q^2 and a whole polarization density matrix of virtual photons.
- **•** Feasible options are:
	- $\mathbf 1$ single tag e^+e^- collisions. Here the tagged lepton couples to the virtual photon, while photons from the lepton "lost in the beampipe" are quasireal.
	- **2** electron-proton or electron-ion scattering. Here especially heavy ions such as Gold which large charge $Z = 79$ give rise to a large quasireal photon flux enhanced by Z^2 .

 $A \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \pmod{4} \pmod{4} \pmod{4}$

 QQ

Transition amplitude in the Drell-Yan frame

We evaluate the $\gamma^*\gamma^*\to \chi_{c1}$ amplitude in the Drell-Yan frame where $q_{1\mu}=q_{1+}n^+_\mu+q_{1-}n^-_\mu$ and $q_{2\mu}=q_{2-}n_{\mu}^{-}+q_{2\mu}^{\perp}$, using the light front plus-component of the current:

$$
\langle \chi_{c1}(\lambda)|J_{+}(0)|\gamma_{L}^{*}(Q^{2})\rangle=2q_{1+}\sqrt{N_{c}}\int\frac{dzd^{2}\mathbf{k}}{z(1-z)16\pi^{3}}\times\sum_{\sigma,\bar{\sigma}}\Psi_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}}^{\lambda*}(z,\mathbf{k})\,(q_{2}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}})\Psi_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}}^{\gamma_{L}}(z,\mathbf{k},Q^{2})\,.
$$

 \bullet for spacelike photons, the plus component of the current is free from parton number changing or instantaneous fermion exchange contributions.

KED KARD KED KED E YOUR

Quarkonium light front wave functions

We adopt two different approaches to LFWFs:

Terentev substitution - LFWF from potential model

Quark three-momentum in bound state rest frame

$$
\vec{k} = (k, k_z),
$$
 $k_z = (z - \frac{1}{2}) M_{c\bar{c}}$ $M_{c\bar{c}}^2 = \frac{k^2 + m_c^2}{z(1 - z)}$

- **•** radial WF $u_{np}(k)$ becomes (with appropriate Jacobian) radial LFWF $\psi(z, k)$
- canonical spin is substituted by LF helicity via **Melosh transform**

$$
\xi_Q = R(z, k)\chi_Q, \qquad \xi_{\bar{Q}}^* = R^*(1-z, -k)\chi_{\bar{Q}}^* \qquad R(z, k) = \frac{m_c + zM_{c\bar{c}} - i\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{n} \times k)}{\sqrt{(m_c + zM_{c\bar{c}})^2 + k^2}}
$$

We use a variety of interquark potentials summarized in J. Cepila et al., Eur. Phys. J. C **79** [\(2019\) no.6, 495](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7016-9)

Basis light front quantization (BLFQ)

bound state WFs from effective LF-Hamiltonian

$$
H_{\rm eff}| \chi_c; \lambda_A, P_+, P \rangle = M_\chi^2 | \chi_c; \lambda_A, P_+, P \rangle \,,
$$

- we use LFWFs from Y. Li, P. Maris and J. P. Vary, Phys. Rev. D **96** [\(2017\), 016022](https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.016022)
- effective Hamiltonian which contains a term motivated by a "soft-wall" confinement from LF-holography, as well as a longitudinal confinement potential supplemented by one gluon exchange including the full spin-structure.

Light front wave functions from potential models

- For the weakly bound systems a procedure to obtain the LFWF from Schrödinger WFs has been proposed by Terentev. In this case the helicity dependent WF $\Psi_{\lambda\bar{\lambda}}^{(\lambda_A)}(z,\boldsymbol{k})$ factorizes into a "radial" part, and a spin-orbit part obtained by a Melosh-rotation $R(z, k)$.
- Rest frame:

Ψ

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\left(\lambda_{A}\right)\left(\vec{k}\right) & = & \sum_{L_{z}+S_{z}=\lambda_{A}} Y_{1L_{z}}(\hat{k})\left\langle\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\tau\bar{\tau}\Big|1S_{z}\right\rangle\langle11L_{z}S_{z}|1\lambda_{A}\rangle\frac{u(k)}{k} \\
& = & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}}\,\xi_{Q}^{\tau\dagger}\,\left(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\frac{\vec{k}\times\vec{E}(\lambda_{A})}{k}\right)i\sigma_{2}\,\xi_{Q}^{\bar{\tau}*}\,\frac{u(k)}{k}\,.\n\end{array}
$$

· Light front:

$$
\Psi_{\lambda\bar{\lambda}}^{(\lambda_A)}(z,\mathbf{k}) = \chi_{Q}^{\lambda\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_A}^{\prime} i\sigma_2 \chi_{\bar{Q}}^{\bar{\lambda}*} \psi(z,\mathbf{k}) \sqrt{2(M_{Q\bar{Q}}^2 - 4m_Q^2)},
$$

with:

$$
\mathcal{O}'_{\lambda_A} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} R^{\dagger}(z, \mathbf{k}) \bigg(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \frac{\vec{k} \times \vec{E}(\lambda_A)}{\sqrt{2}k} \bigg) R(1-z, -\mathbf{k}).
$$

and

$$
\psi(z,\mathbf{k})=\frac{\pi\sqrt{M_{Q\bar{Q}}}}{2\sqrt{2}}\frac{u(k)}{k^2},\qquad\qquad\text{where}\qquad\mathbf{k}\text{ is the initial value of }\mathbf{k}\text{ is the initial value of }\mathbf{k}\text{,}
$$

Transition form factor from light front wave functions

We use the well known perturbative LFWF of the longitudinal photon

$$
\Psi_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}}^{\gamma_L}(z,\boldsymbol{k},Q^2)=\mathrm{e}e_c\sqrt{z(1-z)}\,\frac{2z(1-z)Q}{\boldsymbol{k}^2+\epsilon^2}\,\delta_{\sigma,-\bar{\sigma}}\,,
$$

with $\epsilon^2 = m_c^2 + z(1 - z)Q^2$.

 \bullet Only the $S_z = 0$ component with antiparallel quark helicities and one unit of orbital angular momentum contributes.

$$
\frac{f_{\rm LT}(Q^2)}{Q^2+M_{\chi}^2} = -2\sqrt{2N_c} e_c^2 \int \frac{dzd^2k}{16\pi^3} \frac{k_x+ik_y}{[k^2+\epsilon^2]^2} \sqrt{z(1-z)} \Big\{ \Psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{(+1)*}(z,k) + \Psi_{\downarrow\uparrow}^{(+1)*}(z,k) \Big\}
$$

• For the $Q\bar{Q}$ state,

$$
F_{\rm TT}(Q^2,0) = -\frac{Q^2}{M} f_{\rm LT}(Q^2).
$$

In the Melosh transform formalism for the n^3P_1 state, we have

$$
\sqrt{z(1-z)}\left\{\Psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{(+1)*}(z,k)+\Psi_{\downarrow\uparrow}^{(+1)*}(z,k)\right\}=(k_x-ik_y)\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\frac{\pi\sqrt{M_{c\bar{c}}}}{2}\frac{u_{\rm nP}(k)}{k^2},
$$
\nHere, $k=\sqrt{M_{c\bar{c}}^2-4m_c^2}/2$, with $M_{c\bar{c}}^2=(k^2+m_c^2)/z(1-z)$.

γ ∗*γ* [∗] **cross sections and the reduced width**

• photon-photon cross sections:

$$
\sigma_{ij} = \frac{32\pi(2J+1)}{N_iN_j} \frac{\hat{s}}{2M\sqrt{X}} \frac{M\Gamma}{(\hat{s}-M^2)^2 + M^2\Gamma^2} \Gamma^{\ddot{y}}_{\gamma^*\gamma^*}(Q_1^2,Q_2^2,\hat{s}),
$$

where $\{i, j\} \in \{T, L\}$, and $N_T = 2$, $N_L = 1$ are the numbers of polarization states of photons. In terms of our helicity form factor, we obtain for the LT configuration, putting at the resonance pole $\hat{s} \to M^2$, and $J=1$ for the axial-vector meson:

reduced width

$$
\tilde{\Gamma}(A)=\lim_{Q^2\rightarrow 0}\frac{M^2}{Q^2}\Gamma^{\rm LT}_{\gamma^*\gamma^*}(Q^2,0,M^2)=\frac{\pi\alpha_{\rm em}^2M}{3}\,f_{\rm LT}^2(0)\,,
$$

provides a useful measure of size of the relevant e^+e^- cross section in the $γγ$ mode. For a cՇ state:

$$
f_{\rm LT}=-e_f^2M^2\frac{\sqrt{3N_c}}{8\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{dk\,k^2u(k)}{(k^2+m_c^2)^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{c\bar{c}}}}\left\{\frac{2}{\beta^2}-\frac{1-\beta^2}{\beta^3}\,\log\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right)\right\},\;\beta=\frac{k}{\sqrt{k^2+m_c^2}}
$$

nonrelativistiv limit:

$$
\tilde{\Gamma}(A) = \frac{2^5 \alpha_{\rm em}^2 e_c^2 N_c}{M_{\chi}^4} |R'(0)|^2
$$

KORKARA REPASA DA VOCA

Figure: Form factor $f_{\text{LT}}(Q^2) = F_{\text{LT}}(Q^2, 0)/Q$ for one virtual photon.

K ロ ▶ K 리 ▶ K 코 ▶ K 코 ▶ │ 코 │ ◆ 9 Q ◇

substantial reduction of reduced width when relativistic corrections are included.

Q² **-dependence of the** *γ* [∗]*γ* **cross section**

Figure: The square of the effective form factor as a function of photon virtuality within LFWF approach (on the l.h.s.) and in the nonrelativistic limit (on the r.h.s.).

$$
\sigma_{\rm tot}^{\gamma^* \gamma}(Q^2,0) = 16\pi^3 \alpha_{\rm em}^2 \delta(\hat{s} - M^2) \frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + M^2} \left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{2M^2}\right) \left(\frac{F_{\rm LT}(Q^2,0)}{Q}\right)^2
$$

= $16\pi^3 \alpha_{\rm em}^2 \delta(\hat{s} - M^2) F_{\rm eff}^2(Q^2).$

Table: Reduced width

| potential model | m_c (GeV) | $(GeV^{5/2})$ R'(0) | $\tilde{\Gamma}(\chi_{c1})_{\rm NRQCD}$ (keV) | $\tilde{\tau}_{\chi_{c1}}$) (keV) |
|---------------------|-------------|------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| power-law | 1.33 | 0.22 | 0.97 | 0.50 |
| Buchmüller-Tye | 1.48 | 0.25 | 0.82 | 0.30 |
| Cornell | 1.84 | 0.32 | 0.56 | 0.09 |
| harmonic oscillator | 1.4 | 0.27 | 1.20 | 0.53 |
| logarithmic | 1.5 | 0.24 | 0.72 | 0.27 |

• Considerably larger values of $\tilde{\Gamma}(\chi_{c1})$ are quoted in the literature. For example Danilkin & Vanderhaeghen (2017) report a value of $\tilde{\Gamma}(\chi_{c1}) \approx 1.6 \,\text{keV}$ from a sum rule analysis. Li et al. (2022) obtain $\tilde{\Gamma}(\chi_{c1}) \approx 3 \,\text{keV}$ from a LFWF approach.

A measurement of the reduced width would therefore be very valuable.

 $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ – the $[c\bar{c}]$ 2^3P_1 component

Figure: The dimensionless $\gamma^*_{\text{L}} \gamma \to \chi_{c1}(2P)$ transition form factor $f_{\text{LT}}(Q^2)$.

- We use LFWFs for $n = 1$ radial excitation of the p-wave charmonium.
- \bullet We trace the different Q^2 –dependences to differences of the z–dependence and constituent c-quark mass used in different models.
- error band for BLFQ reflects dependence on basis-size as p[rop](#page-11-0)[ose](#page-13-0)[d](#page-11-0) [by](#page-12-0) [it](#page-13-0)[s](#page-1-0) [a](#page-2-0)[u](#page-14-0)[th](#page-15-0)[o](#page-1-0)[r](#page-2-0)[s.](#page-14-0)

Table: The reduced width of the $\chi_{c1}(2P)$ state for several models of the charmonium wave functions with specific c-quark mass.

First evidence for the production of $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ in single-tag e^+e^- collisions was reported by Belle Phys. Rev. Lett. **126** [\(2021\) no.12, 122001](https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.122001) From three measured events, they provided a range for its reduced width, 0*.*02 keV *<* Γ˜*γγ <* 0*.*5 keV. Recent update by Achasov et al. Phys. Rev. D **106** [\(2022\) no.9, 093012](https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.093012)

 u sing a corrected value for the branching ratio ${\rm Br}(\chi_{c1}(3872) \to \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi)$ and reads

 $0.024 \,\text{keV} < \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma \gamma}(\chi_{c1}(3872)) < 0.615 \,\text{keV}$

all our results, including the BLFQ approach, lie **well within the experimentally allowed range**. Therefore, $\gamma\gamma$ data do not exclude the cc option, although there is certainly some room for a contribution from an additional meson-meson component.

KORKARA REPASA DA VOCA

Possible molecule contribution to Γ˜**?**

• apparently nothing (?) is known about the molecular contribution to the reduced width.

- What about the analogous contribution to the one we adopted in the hadronic case? Say $\gamma^*\gamma\to c\bar c\to\bar D D^*$, and FSI of $D\bar D^*$ generates the $X(3872).$
- \bullet Spins of heavy quarks in $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ are entangled to be in the spin-triplet state (M. Voloshin, 2004). But near threshold the cc state produced via $\gamma\gamma$ -fusion is in the ¹S₀ state. (It's different for gluons, where color octet populates ${}^{3}S_{1}$!)

KORKARA KERKER SAGA

- $\bullet \rightarrow$ "handbag mechanism" suppressed in heavy quark limit.
- Purely hadronic models?
- We have derived the LFWF representation of axial quarkonia $\gamma^*\gamma^*$ transition form factors.
- These FFs contain valuable information on the structure of the meson.
- **The reduced width of the ground state** $\chi_{c1}(1P)$, for one longitudinal and one real photon Γ is obtained in the ballpark of ∼ 0*.*5 keV.
- In the case of $\chi_{c1}(3872)$, the values obtained for a 2^3P_1 charmonium are well within the range of the first Belle data. This suggests an important role of the $c\bar{c}$ Fock state for production in the *γ* [∗]*γ* mode. (Of course there is still room for additional contributions.)
- **Electroproduction of** $\chi_{c1}(1P)$, $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ in the Coulomb field of a heavy nucleus may give access to form factor $f_{\rm LT}(Q^2)$. This is additional information on the structure. We know how to calculate it for cc states.

KORKARA REPASA DA VOCA